IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY
WRITE PETITION NO : 6350 of 2006

Dated 28th September, 2006

Between

Korukonda Venkata Nagendra Bhupal

….Petitioner

and

Government of AP, rep. By its Principal Secretary,
Endowments Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad and ors.

…Respondents.

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRITE PETITION NO : 6350 of 2006

ORDER:

At the request of the learned Counsel appearing on both sides, the Writ Petition itself is taken up for consideration and is being disposed of by this order.

The petitioner was placed under suspension by the Executive Officer of Sree Veera Venkata Satyanarayana Swamyvari Devasthanam, Annavaram on 28-8-2003. The said suspension was consequent to the raid conducted by the Anti Corruption Bureau in the Central Stores of the temple. Earlier, the petitioner had questioned the said suspension order in Writ Petition No. 18635 of 2005 and also filed Writ Appeal No. 2322 of 2005.

It is the case of the petitioner that though he was placed under suspension as early as on 28-8-2003, no further steps have been taken so far. It is submitted that in spite of directions in Writ Appeal No. 2322 of 2005, for passing orders by reviewing the case, no orders have been passed.

On behalf of the temple, counter affidavit is filed, stating that the Anti Corruption Bureau has already completed the investigation and filed charge sheet in the competent court of law. It is stated that immediately thereafter, the Executive Officer of the Temple had addressed a letter dated 11-6-2006 to the Commissioner, Endowments, for passing necessary orders for reinstatement of the petitioner.

The suspension order was passed pending investigation into the case registered by the Anti Corruption Bureau. No independent steps have been taken departmentally against the petitioner. As much as, it is stated that the Anti Corruption Bureau has already completed investigation and filed charge sheet, which is evident from the latter dated 11-6-2006 addressed by the Executive Officer I dispose of the Writ Petition directing the respondents 1 to 3 to pass appropriate orders by reviewing the order of suspension of the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner.

Subject to the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

-

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

DATE: 28-09-2006.
Msnr.

-

-